



E-Filed on 8/31/06

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
Las Vegas, NV 89169  
Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
Telephone (702) 949-8320

40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4429  
Facsimile (602) 734-3824  
Telephone (602) 262-5756

Susan M. Freeman AZ State Bar No. 004199  
Email: sfreeman@lrlaw.com  
Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593  
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

**In re:**  
**USA Commercial Mortgage Company**  
**06-10725 – Lead Case**  
**USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC**  
**06-10726**  
**USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund,**  
**LLC**  
**06-10727**  
**USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC**  
**06-10728**  
**USA Securities, LLC**  
**06-10729**  
**Debtors.**

Jointly Administered

Chapter 11 Cases

Judge Linda B. Rieggle Presiding

**LEWIS AND ROCA LLP'S FIRST  
INTERIM APPLICATION FOR  
ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION  
AND REIMBURSEMENT OF  
EXPENSES INCURRED AS  
ATTORNEYS FOR OFFICIAL  
COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED  
CREDITORS**

Date: NA

Time: NA

**Affecting:**

.. All Cases

**or Only:**

× USA Commercial Mortgage Company

.. USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC

.. USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund,  
LLC

.. USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

.. USA Securities, LLC

Lewis and Roca LLP ("Lewis and Roca"), counsel for the Committee of Unsecured Creditors of USA Commercial Mortgage Company (the "Committee"), respectfully requests compensation for services rendered and reimbursement of expenses incurred on



1 behalf of the Committee. Lewis and Roca submits its billing statement, attached as  
2 **Exhibit 1**, for the time period from the beginning of the firm's representation May 24,  
3 2006 through July 31, 2006, which itemizes the time and expenses incurred on behalf of  
4 the Committee.

5 **A. INFORMATION ABOUT APPLICANT AND APPLICATION**

6 **1. Relevant Dates and Nature of Application**

7 a. On May 24, 2006, Lewis and Roca completed its conflicts  
8 clearance and began providing services to the Committee with respect to USA  
9 Commercial Mortgage Company.

10 b. On April 13, 2006 the Committee filed voluntary petitions  
11 under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy  
12 Court for the District of Nevada were filed by USA Commercial Mortgage Company  
13 ("USACM"), USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC; USA Capital Realty  
14 Advisors, LLC; USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC; and USA Securities, LLC.

15 c. An order for Joint Administration of the cases was signed on  
16 May 9, 2006.

17 d. No trustee or examiner has been appointed pursuant to  
18 Sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code, and the Debtors are operating their  
19 business and managing their property as Debtors in Possession.

20 e. An Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors for USACM  
21 has been appointed by the Order of the Court. Also appointed were: Official Committee  
22 of Equity Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC; Official  
23 Committee of Equity Security Holders of USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC;  
24 and Official Committee of Executory Contract Rights of USA Commercial Mortgage  
25 Company.  
26



1 f. By Order of this Court dated June 19, 2006, Lewis and Roca  
2 was appointed as attorneys for the Committee effective as of May 24, 2006.

3 g. Compensation is sought under 11 U.S.C. §§ 330(a)(1) and 331.

## 4 **2. Terms and Conditions of Employment**

5 a. Lewis and Roca has no agreement of any kind, express or  
6 implied, to divide with any other person or entity any portion of the compensation sought  
7 or to be received by it herein except for its partnership agreement. There is on file herein  
8 the necessary statements required by Bankruptcy Rules 2014 and 2016 and 11 U.S.C.  
9 § 329, which are incorporated herein by reference.

10 b. The source of compensation for the fees and expenses  
11 presently sought through this application will be estate funds, as an administrative  
12 expense.

13 c. Pursuant to the Administrative Order re Establishing  
14 Procedures for Interim Compensation Payment and Reimbursement of Expenses,  
15 [DE 1199], 80% of the fees and 100% of the expenses Lewis and Roca submitted are to be  
16 paid on an interim basis, subject to this Court's review upon interim and final fee  
17 applications. In accordance with the Order, Lewis and Roca previously submitted monthly  
18 statements. No objections were received as to the June time and expense. The deadline  
19 for objections for July time and expense is September 15, 2006. The order provides:

20 *On or about the 25<sup>th</sup> day of each month following the month*  
21 *for which compensation is sought, each Professional may*  
22 *submit a monthly statement ("Monthly Statement"). (1)*  
23 *designated counsel for Debtors (ii) designated counsel for*  
24 *each of the four official committees appointed in these cases;*  
25 *and (iii) the Office of the United State Trustee (collectively,*  
26 *the "Reviewing Parties"). Each of the Reviewing Parties will*  
*have until the fifteenth day of the next calendar month to*  
*review the Monthly Statement. If none of the Reviewing*  
*Parties objects as provided in paragraph (b) below, the*  
*applicable Debtor shall promptly pay (to the extent funds are*  
*available in such Debtor's estate) eighty percent (80%) of the*



*fees requested and one hundred percent (100%) of the expenses requested in that particular Monthly Statement.*

### 3. Names and Hourly Rates of Professionals

a. The names of the Lewis and Roca professionals and paraprofessionals requesting fees during the period of this application, the hourly rate charged by each, and the fees billed (after deduction of fees of which no payment is requested) are as follows:

<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Billed Per Hour</u>	<u>Hours Billed</u>	<u>Amount Billed</u>
S. Freeman	\$510	121.0	\$61,710.00
R. Charles	\$385	235.4	\$90,609.75
S. Brown	\$320	12.2	\$ 3,904.00
S. Korn	\$275	121.8	\$33,495.00
M. Ruth	\$200	47.5	\$ 9,500.00
<u>Paralegal</u>			
M. Schoenike	\$180	104.7	\$18,846.00
N. Tanner	\$160	21.7	\$ 3,472.00
C. Arnold	\$170	0.3	\$ 51.00
<u>Summer Associate</u>			
N. Williams	\$150	40.00	\$ 6,000.00
<u>Library Research Assistant</u>			
S. Bundy	\$110	0.5	\$ 55.00
<u>Litigation Assistants</u>			
C. Jordan	\$55	4.7	\$ 258.50

b. Solely for the purpose of the Court's evaluation of the reasonableness of those standard hourly rates, a brief summary of the professional



1 experience of the lawyers who provided the bulk of the services for which compensation is  
2 sought is attached.

3 c. The compensation sought is based on compensation charged  
4 by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other than cases under Title 11.

5 **4. Status of Applications**

6 This application is Lewis and Roca's first interim application.

7 **5. Review and Approval of Application.**

8 Monthly statements have been sent to all Committee Members of  
9 USACM, and pursuant to the proposed Administrative Order, to the "Reviewing Parties",  
10 and no objections have been received as to June, while the deadline for objections for July  
11 is September 15. The U.S. Trustee raised certain issues that have been addressed in this  
12 application and a responsive letter. This application will also be sent to the Reviewing  
13 Parties.

14 **6. Time Period For Services Covered by This Application.**

15 The time period covered by this application is from May 24, 2006  
16 through July 31, 2006.

17 **B. CASE STATUS**

18 **1. Status of Plans and Disclosure Statements, Quarterly Fees and**  
19 **Monthly Operating Reports**

20 a. Quarterly fees have been paid to the United States Trustee to  
21 the best of our knowledge.

22 b. Monthly operating reports have been submitted to the Office of  
23 the U.S. Trustee.

24 c. The Debtors have not filed their Disclosure Statement and Plan  
25 of Reorganization.  
26



1                               **2.       Accrued Administrative Expenses**

2                               As of the date of this application, there are no unpaid pending  
3 applications for administrative expense that have been approved by this Court, to the best  
4 of Applicant's knowledge.

5                               **C.       SUMMARY SHEET**

6                               A summary sheet that sets forth the hours billed, hourly rate and total billed  
7 for each professional and paraprofessional is attached as Schedule A.

8                               **D.       BACKGROUND OF DEBTOR**

9                               **1.       Summary of Legal Services Performed by Lewis and Roca**

10                              The services performed by Lewis and Roca during this application  
11 period related to (1) advising the Committee with respect to the powers and duties of the  
12 Committee; (2) analyzing the Debtors and their financial information with particular focus  
13 on USACM, and advising the Committee about them; (3) consulting with the Debtor and  
14 other committees concerning the administration of the case; (4) advising the Committee  
15 with respect to the powers and duties of the Debtor in the continued operation of its  
16 business and management of its assets in the Chapter 11 cases (5) preparing for and  
17 participating in meetings of the Committee and joint meetings of the Debtor and all  
18 committees; (6) evaluating court filings and advising the Committee with respect to them;  
19 (7) preparing for and participating in court hearings and addressing issues with respect to  
20 court orders; and (8) communicating with Committee Members and other professionals  
21 about various estate matters.

22                              **B110 Case Administration**

23                              Lewis and Roca performed tasks of a general nature including: preparation  
24 of a website for creditor inquiries; review of court filings, other documents and dockets;  
25 responding to procedural issues and most motions and orders; preparing for and  
26 participating in hearings covering uncontested as well as contested matters; responding to



numerous inquiries from Committee Members; resolving confidentiality agreement issues with Debtors; researching issues of general applicability; assisting the Committee with selection of a financial advisor; working with Debtors and the other Committees on means to cost effectively scan Debtors' critical file documents for review, dissemination and archive purposes.

<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Billed Per Hour</u>	<u>Hours Billed</u>	<u>Amount Billed</u>
S. Freeman	\$510	28.0	\$14,280.00
R. Charles	\$385	70.2	27,027.00
S. Brown	\$320	12.2	3,904.00
<u>Summer Associate</u>			
N. Williams	\$150	40.0	6,000.00
<u>Paralegal</u>			
M. Schoenike	\$180	61.6	11,088.00
C. Arnold	\$170	0.3	51.00
N. Tanner	\$160	19.2	3,072.00
<u>Litigation Assistants</u>			
C. Jordan	\$55	4.7	258.50
<u>Library Research Assistant</u>	\$110	0.5	55.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>243.8</b>	<b>\$65,735.50</b>

#### B120 Asset Analysis/Recovery

This time relates to assistance regarding investigation of the Debtor's assets and their value, including potential causes of action against the Debtor's insiders, requests.

<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Billed Per Hour</u>	<u>Hours Billed</u>	<u>Amount Billed</u>
S. Freeman	\$510	0.8	\$408.00
R. Charles	385	2.2	847.00

<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>\$1,255.00</b>
--------------	------------	-------------------

**B130 Asset Disposition**

This time relates to evaluation of sales issues and offers from potential purchasers of assets, and communication with the Committee, Debtors, bidders and other professionals about potential sales.

<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Billed Per Hour</u>	<u>Hours Billed</u>	<u>Amount Billed</u>
S. Freeman	\$510	3.0	\$1,530.00
R. Charles	385	4.8	1,848.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>7.8</b>	<b>\$3,378.00</b>

**B140 Relief From Stay Proceedings**

Counsel reviewed stay relief motions, researched and prepared responses, and addressed issues with respect to forms of order.

<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Billed Per Hour</u>	<u>Hours Billed</u>	<u>Amount Billed</u>
S. Freeman	\$510	2.5	\$1,275.00
R. Charles	385	2.7	1,039.50
<b><u>Paralegal</u></b>			
M. Schoenike	180	0.7	126.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>5.9</b>	<b>\$2,440.50</b>

**B150 Meetings Of Creditors**

Time in this category relates to Committee meetings, including preparation of Committee bylaws and communicating with Committee members about meetings and





directing discussions. Counsel prepared memoranda for the Committee about pending motions and new developments, and meeting minutes and agendas. Counsel also participated in meetings with Debtor and all committees.

<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Billed Per Hour</u>	<u>Hours Billed</u>	<u>Amount Billed</u>
S. Freeman	\$510	47.0	\$23,970.00
R. Charles	385	86.5	33,302.50
<u>Paralegal</u>			
M. Schoenike	180	11.5	2,070.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>145.0</b>	<b>\$59,342.50</b>

#### B160 Fee/Employment Applications

Counsel prepared the firm's engagement letter, employment application, verified statements and supplements, order of employment and an application order shortening notice. Lewis and Roca prepared a pro hac admission application and submitted monthly statements as required. In addition, counsel reviewed employment application of Debtor's counsel, and also prepared the employment documents for Sierra Consulting Group, Inc., the Committee's financial advisor, and responded to an objection to the Sierra employment.

<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Billed Per Hour</u>	<u>Hours Billed</u>	<u>Amount Billed</u>
S. Freeman	\$510	3.5	\$1,785.00
R. Charles	385	9.7	3,734.50
<u>Paralegal</u>			
M. Schoenike	180	27.5	4,950.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>40.7</b>	<b>\$10,469.50</b>

**B170 Fee Employment Objections**

Time in this category relates to the review of oppositions or objections to employment of counsel for other committees and the Debtor, and resolving issues with respect to forms of orders concerning employment of non-Committee professionals.

<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Billed Per Hour</u>	<u>Hours Billed</u>	<u>Amount Billed</u>
S. Freeman	\$510	0.6	\$306.00
R. Charles	385	4.7	1,809.50
<u>Paralegal</u>			
M. Schoenike	180	0.8	144.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>6.1</b>	<b>\$2,259.50</b>

**B190 Other Contested Matters**

Time in this category includes analysis of responses to, and hearings on various contested matters, including the pleadings concerning the Debtor's distribution motion and related motions by other parties.

<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Billed Per Hour</u>	<u>Hours Billed</u>	<u>Amount Billed</u>
S. Freeman	\$510	29.9	\$15,249.00
R. Charles	385	36.4	14,014.00
S. Korn	275	121.8	33,495.00
M. Ruth	200	47.5	9,500.00
<u>Paralegal</u>			
M. Schoenike	180	1.4	252.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>237.0</b>	<b>\$72,510.00</b>



**B195 Non-Working Travel.**

Time in this category relates to counsel's non-working travel to and from Las Vegas to attend hearings and meetings and billed at fifty percent of the hourly rate.

<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Billed Per Hour</u>	<u>Hours Billed</u>	<u>Amount Billed</u>
S. Freeman	\$510	5.0	\$2,550.00
R. Charles	385	9.9	3,792.25
<u>Paralegal</u>			
N. Tanner	160	2.5	400.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>17.4</b>	<b>\$6,742.25</b>

**B230 Financing/Cash Collections**

Counsel reviewed and responded to documents related to proposed DIP financing, including collateral and budget issues and proposed revisions to the DIP financing order, and related to the Fertitta completion loan.

<u>Attorney</u>	<u>Billed Per Hour</u>	<u>Hours Billed</u>	<u>Amount Billed</u>
S. Freeman	\$510	0.7	\$357.00
R. Charles	385	6.9	2,656.50
<u>Paralegal</u>			
M. Schoenike	180	0.8	144.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>8.4</b>	<b>\$3,157.50</b>



### **B310 Claims Administration and Objections**

Time in this category relates to responses to investors/creditors regarding claims inquiries.

<b><u>Attorney</u></b>	<b><u>Billed Per Hour</u></b>	<b><u>Hours Billed</u></b>	<b><u>Amount Billed</u></b>
R. Charles	\$385	1.4	\$539.00
<b><u>Paralegal</u></b>			
M. Schoenike	180	0.4	72.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>1.8</b>	<b>\$611.00</b>

### **2. Summary of Costs**

Lewis and Roca seeks reimbursement for costs incurred in the amount of \$40,250.68. All costs are also customarily charged to non-bankruptcy clients of Lewis and Roca. The amount charged by Lewis and Roca for photocopies in this case is 20¢ per page. Facsimiles are charged at \$1.00 per outgoing facsimile. Deliveries were made when signatures were required, and for documents delivered (principally to the court), and transcript order deliveries.

All costs from outside parties, such as Federal Express, mail, delivery charges, long distance telephone charges, travel expenses, Lexis and Westlaw searches and the like, are charged at the unit rate charged by the vendors. Travel expenses are limited to airfare charges, taxi or rental car and parking charges. The summary of the requested expense is:

<b><u>Description</u></b>	<b><u>Amount</u></b>
Delivery – Federal Express	58.81
Travel Expenses	1,866.40
Other Disbursements	724.15



<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Postage	804.51
Westlaw Computer Research	31,452.95
Delivery – Federal Express	58.81
Travel Expenses	3,322.91
Other Disbursements – Conference Calls for Committee Meeting	724.15
Postage	804.51
Westlaw Computer Research	31,452.95
Filing Fee	175.00
Meeting Expenses – Meals for Committee Lunches	75.03
Long Distance Telephone	646.72
Facsimile Document (pages @ \$1.00)	9.00
Pickup and Delivery	90.00
Photocopying (pages @ \$0.20)	2,891.60
Outside Copying and Scanning	649.83
<b>Total Advances</b>	<b>\$40,900.51</b>

The three Committees incurred an expense in scanning and copying documents for Debtors' production. The cost was split equally among the Committees, and Lewis and Roca advanced \$649.83 for this purpose. A copy of the invoices is attached as Exhibit 2. Although this expense was not incurred until the bill was received in August, Lewis and Roca requests reimbursement in this application due to the unusual nature of the request, and to suggest that this expense is one Debtors should incur in the ordinary course of business, without shifting the burden to the Committees' professionals.

The total time for all timekeepers for which fees are requested is 716.9 hours, resulting in total fees requested of \$227,901.25 along with expense reimbursement of \$40,900.51 from May 24, 2006 through July 31, 2006. Lewis and Roca professionals



1 spent an additional 7.1 hours representing \$721.00 of fees, for which no payment is  
2 requested, including time for checking potential conflicts of interest and duplicative work.

### 3                   **3.       Evaluating Standards**

4                   In accordance with 11 U.S.C. § 330, this amount was calculated using the  
5 hourly rate for the attorneys involved. *See also In Re Yermakov*, 718 F.2d 1465, 1471 (9th  
6 Cir. 1983) (“The primary method used to determine a reasonable attorneys’ fee in a  
7 bankruptcy case is to multiply the number of hours expended by an hourly rate”). This has  
8 also been referred to as the “lodestar” or basic fee which, if warranted, can be adjusted  
9 upward or downward. In that regard, the Ninth Circuit in *Yermakov* made specific  
10 references to *Johnson v. Georgia Highway Express, Inc.*, 488 F.2d 714, 717-19 (5th Cir.  
11 1974), in which the Fifth Circuit listed twelve factors which should be considered in  
12 awarding attorneys’ fees. These “*Johnson* factors” have been referred to and utilized by  
13 many courts in considering and awarding attorneys’ fees in bankruptcy cases. *See In Re*  
14 *Nucorp Energy, Inc.*, 754 F.2d 655 (9th Cir. 1985).

15                   The Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy Appellate Panel has held that the “lodestar”  
16 approach, coupled with consideration for the “*Johnson* factors” is the appropriate standard  
17 to be applied in awarding fees in a bankruptcy case. *See In re Powerine Oil Co.*, 71  
18 Bankr. 767 (Bankr. 9th Cir. 1986).

19                   The provisions of § 330(a) place a premium on the timeliness of  
20 administration of the case. Compensable services must be “performed within a reasonable  
21 amount of time commensurate with the complexity, importance and nature of the problem,  
22 issue or task addressed.” 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(3)(A). Lewis and Roca believes all services  
23 have been provided in a timely basis.

24                   For a more specific description of the various services provided, categorized  
25 as a project basis, as well as a general description of what was accomplished with respect  
26 to each project, please consult the attached project billing.



1 The results obtained by Lewis and Roca within the time frames of this  
2 application illustrate that Lewis and Roca:

- 3 • Used the skill required to perform the legal services properly;
- 4 • Provided services necessary to the administration of the case; and
- 5 • Performed the services within a reasonable amount of time commensurate  
6 with the complexity, importance and nature of each task.

7 **E. CONCLUSION**

8 Based upon the foregoing, Lewis and Roca respectfully requests the Court to enter  
9 an Order approving:

- 10 1. Interim compensation for professional services rendered by Lewis  
11 and Roca in the amount of \$227,901.25;
- 12 2. Reimbursement for actual and necessary expenses incurred in the  
13 amount of \$40,900.51;
- 14 3. Authorizing the Debtor to pay the award from unencumbered funds of  
15 the USACM estate.

16 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED August 31, 2006.

17 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

18  
19 By /s/ RC (#006593)

20 Susan M. Freeman

21 Rob Charles

22 *Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured*  
23 *Creditors*  
24  
25  
26

### **Brief biographical information of attorneys**

Susan M. Freeman: Partner in the law firm of Lewis and Roca LLP in the Business Section, certified specialist in bankruptcy law, Arizona Board of Legal Specialization. Education: New York University, J.D., 1975 (Root-Tilden Scholar); Mt. Holyoke College, B.A., with distinction 1972. Concentration is in the areas of appeals, bankruptcy. Member of the State Bar Association, and the Bankruptcy Section of the Bar, and of the American Bar Association Business Bankruptcy Section. Fellow and Director, American College of Bankruptcy. Chair Chapter 11 Subcommittee of ABA Business Bankruptcy Committee. Author of Chapter 27, Ethical Responsibilities, Norton Bankruptcy Law & Practice 2d. Lecturer at various continuing legal education programs on bankruptcy in Arizona and nationally.

Rob Charles: Partner in the Tucson, Arizona office of Lewis and Roca LLP. Admitted to Arizona bar, 1982; admitted to Nevada bar. Education: University of Arizona (B.A., 1979); University of Arizona (J.D., 1982 with distinction). Law Clerk to the Hon. Earl H. Carroll, District of Arizona (1982-1984). Adjunct faculty, University of Arizona College of Law. Former chair, State Bar of Arizona, Bankruptcy Section; current chair, State Bar of Arizona Committee on Rules of Professional Conduct. Member, American Bar Association, Sections of Business Law and Litigation, active in the Bankruptcy Committee of the Business Law Section. Recent articles include the Annual Survey of the Automatic Stay, in the Annual Survey of Bankruptcy Law, published by Clark Boardman Callaghan. Recent presentations include panel presentations at the Norton Litigation Institute in Las Vegas, Nevada, and to subcommittee meetings of the American Bar Association Business Law Section's Business Bankruptcy Committee and the American Bankruptcy Institute.

Scott K. Brown: Associate in the Phoenix, Arizona law firm of Lewis and Roca LLP in the Commercial Litigation group, practicing primarily in the area of bankruptcy law. Admitted to bar in 2002. Education: Brigham Young University (BA 1997) and J. Reuben Clark Law School (JD 2000). Author of The Coptic Church in Egypt: A Comment on Protecting Religious Minorities from Non-state Discrimination, Brigham Young University Law Review 1049 (2000); Jehovah's Witnesses v. Land Berlin: Requiring Religious Communities Seeking Public Corporation Status in Germany to Satisfy the "Meaning and Purpose of Corporation Status" Test, Brigham Young University Law Review 673 (1999); and Regulating or Reorganizing?: Depriving Federal Bankruptcy Courts of Their Statutory Authority and Misapplying Fundamental Tenets of Bankruptcy Law in in re Cajun Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., Brigham Young University Journal of Public Law 249 (2000).

Sivan R. Korn: Associate in the Phoenix, Arizona law firm of Lewis and Roca LLP in the Commercial Litigation group, practicing primarily in antitrust litigation, antitrust compliance counseling and intellectual property litigation as well as general commercial litigation. Admitted to bar in 2002. Education: College of Management School of Law (1999 LL.B) and Fordham University School of Law, LL.M., 2001. While in law school in Israel, Ms. Korn was a law clerk for the Hon. Ruth Zochowitzki at the Jerusalem District Court. Following law school she clerked with the Chief Deputy of the Israel Attorney General, focusing on Supreme Court appellate work in matter of national security and complex white collar crime cases. Ms. Korn received an L.L.M., magna cum laude, from Fordham University in New York, in 2001, where she also ranked first in class and was a recipient of the Edward Hawk Award as well as the full tuition merit scholarship.





1           Marvin C. Ruth: Associate in the Phoenix, Arizona law firm of Lewis and Roca  
2           LLP in the Commercial Litigation group. Admitted to bar in 2005. Education: University  
3           of Arizona (B.A. 2001) Robert C. Boyd Scholar; James E. Ursano Army Scholar, Arizona  
4           Regents Scholar and University of Arizona, James E. Rogers College of Law, J.D., 2005  
5           magna cum laude, Perry Rogers Scholar. While in law school, Mr. Ruth was the articles  
6           editor for the Arizona Journal of International and Comparative Law and was also a  
7           member of the Black Law Students Association and the Jessup International Law Moot  
8           Court Team.